

Emily Maxwell (Bar. No. 185646)
maxwelle@howrey.com

HOWREY LLP

525 Market Street, Suite 3600
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 415-848-4947
 415-848-4999 (fax)

Eugene A. Spector (*pro hac vice*)
espector@srkw-law.com

Jeffrey J. Corrigan (*pro hac vice*)
jcorrigan@srkw-law.com

Theodore M. Lieverman (*pro hac vice*)
tlieverman@srkw-law.com

Jay S. Cohen (*pro hac vice*)
jcohen@srkw-law.com

Jonathan M. Jagher (*pro hac vice*)
jjagher@srkw-law.com

SPECTOR ROSEMAN KODROFF & WILLIS, P.C.

1818 Market Street, Suite 2500
 Philadelphia, PA 19103
 215-496-0300
 215-496-6611 (fax)

[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ON SIGNATURE PAGE]

*Attorneys for Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and others similarly
 situated*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD J. SHEELER, JR., on behalf of
 himself and others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

vs.

WALMART.COM USA LLC, WAL-MART
 STORES, INC. and NETFLIX, INC.
Defendants.

) Case No.: C 09-00274 JL

) **NOTICE OF RELATED CASE**
) **PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO BE**
) **FILED IN CASE NO. CV-09-0002 (PJH);**
) **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO**
) **CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD**
) **BE RELATED, PURSUANT TO CIVIL**
) **L.R. 7-11**

1 Plaintiff, Richard J. Sheeler, Jr. on behalf of himself and others similarly situated (hereinafter
2 “Plaintiff”) hereby respectfully submit this Notice of Related Case, Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12 and the
3 required Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related, Pursuant to Civil L. R.
4 7-11.

5 **I. APPLICABLE STANDARD UNDER CIVIL L.R. 3-12**

6 Under Civil Local Rule 3-12, an “action is related to another when: (1) the actions concern
7 substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event, and (2) it appears likely that there will be
8 an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are
9 conducted before different Judges.” Civil L.R. 3-12(a).

10 Whenever a party knows or believes that an action may be related to an action which is or was
11 pending in the Northern District, said party “must promptly file in the earliest-filed case an
12 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related, pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11.”¹
13 Civil L.R. 3-12(b). That motion must include: “(1) The title and case number of each apparently
14 related case; (2) A brief statement of the relationship of the actions according to the criteria set forth in
15 Civil L.R. 3-12(a).”

16 **II. THE NEWLY FILED *SHEELER* AND *MEYER* CASES ARE RELATED TO *RESNICK***
17 **AND ITS PROGENY.**

18 The *Resnick* case was filed in this Court on January 2, 2009. Subsequently, a number of related
19 complaints were filed. By Order dated January 16, 2009, this Court found that the following cases are
20 related:

- 21 (1) *Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-0002
22 (2) *O’Connor v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-0096
23 (3) *Endzweig v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-00111
24 (4) *Schmitz v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-00116

25 _____
26 ¹ “In addition to complying with Civil L.R. 7-11, a copy of the motion, together with proof of service
27 pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-6, must be served on all known parties to each apparently related action. A
28 Chambers copy of the motion must be lodged with the assigned Judge in each apparently related case
under Civil L.R. 5-1(b).” Civil L.R. 3-12(b).

1 (5) *Lynch, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-00138

2 (6) *Groce, et al. v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, C 09-00139.

3 By Order dated January 26, 2009, this Court found that the following cases also are related to

4 *Resnick*:

5 (1) *Anthony v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-0236;

6 (2) *Sivek v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-00156, filed January 13, 2009; and

7 (3) *Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, C-09-0180, filed January 14, 2009.

8 After the Court's January 16, 2009 and January 26, 2009 orders, all of the above-listed cases
9 are assigned to Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton.

10 In addition to the above-listed cases previously found to be related to *Resnick*, there are several
11 new cases which also are related to *Resnick*, including:

12 (1) The above-captioned litigation, *Sheeler v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.* C 09-274 (JL)
13 ("*Sheeler*"), filed January 22, 2009;

14 (2) *Meyer v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C 09-00361 (BZ) ("*Meyer*"), filed January 27,
15 2009;

16 (3) *Slobodin v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C-09-225 (BZ), filed January 16, 2009; and

17 (4) *Polk-Stamps v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, C-09-244 (JCS), filed January 20, 2009.

18 These recently-filed cases, like the others that came before them, are related to the original
19 *Resnick* complaint. Like the previously-related cases, these cases involve the exact same transactions
20 and events, the identical defendants, the identical or virtually identical allegations and causes of action,
21 and the same proposed class of plaintiffs. Accordingly, there will be unduly burdensome duplication
22 of labor and expense and there will be a risk of conflicting results if these cases are not related to
23 *Resnick* and assigned to Judge Hamilton like the other related cases listed above.

24 Dated: January 28, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

25 By: /s/ Emily Maxwell

Emily Maxwell

26 **HOWREY LLP**

525 Market Street, Suite 3600

27 San Francisco, CA 94105

415-848-4947

28 415-848-4999 (fax)

1 Paul Alexander
2 **HOWREY LLP**
3 1950 University Avenue
4 East Palo Alto, CA 94303
5 650-798-3500
6 650-798-3600 (fax)

7 Eugene A. Spector
8 Jeffrey J. Corrigan
9 Theodore M. Lieverman
10 Jay S. Cohen
11 Jonathan M. Jagher
12 **SPECTOR ROSEMAN KODROFF**
13 **& WILLIS, P.C.**
14 1818 Market Street
15 Suite 2500
16 Philadelphia, PA 19103
17 215-496-0300
18 215-496-6611 (fax)

19 Lee Albert
20 Brian Brooks
21 **MURRAY, FRANK & SAILER LLP**
22 275 Madison Avenue, Suite 801
23 New York, NY 10016
24 (212)-682-1818
25 (212)-682-1892 (fax)

26 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and others similarly situated*
27
28